

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,380	03/24/2004	Tsutomu Matsui	040894-7014	3318
9629 MORGAN LEV	7590 08/07/2007 WIS & BOCKIUS LLP	,	EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE NW		TRAN, THANG V	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/807,380	MATSUI, TSUTOMU
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Thang V. Tran	2627
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reveil apply and will expire SIX (6) MON Cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	r election requirement. r.	by the Everiner
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application

An amendment dated 7/30/07 has been considered with the following results:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:


A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jeong (US 6,829,202)

See the rejection applied thereto in the last Office action dated 04/30/07, and further see Fig. 2 that shows the focusing coil (12) is wound around the lens holder (10) in plane perpendicular to the focusing direction, and see Fig. 2 that also a pair of fixed magnets (53) arranged in a direction perpendicular to the focusing and tracking directions. It is noted that no directions are labeled in Fig. 2, but one of ordinary skill in the art would immediately recognize that the focusing direction is a vertical direction relative to a recording surface of the disc and the tracking direction is a radial direction relative to the disc as described in Fig. 2 below. It is also noted that the tracking direction of the actuator must be in a direction as described in the Fig. 2 below due to the structure arrangement of the magnets 53, tracking coils 13 and suspension wires 30.

Art Unit: 2627



Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeong (US 6,829,202) in view of Suzauki (JP 63-259842) cited by Applicant.

See the rejection applied thereto in the Office action mailed 4/30/07.

Response to Arguments

5. In response to Applicant's arguments filed 7/30/07, Applicant should note that based on the arrangement of the magnets 53, tracking coils 13 and suspension wires 30 of the actuator shown in Fig. 2 of Jeong, it is technically impossible for the actuator to be moved in a direction along the magnets 53 as the tracking direction as described by Applicant in attached Fig. 2 due to the magnet flux from the magnets 53 supplied to the tracking coils 13 and the movement of the suspension wire 30. Jeong, according to column 5, lines 63-67, stated that the focusing and tracking operations of the actuator shown in Fig. 2 remain the same as those conventional optical pick-up actuator used in general CD player, and examiner believes that there is no such conventional optical pickup actuator having the same structure arrangement as shown in Fig. 2 of Jeong capable of moving in the direction along the magnets 53 as the tracking direction as described by Applicant in attached Fig. 2. Accordingly, Jeong, according to Figs. 1-7, as applied to reject claims 1-4, and in combination with Suzuki as applied to reject claims 5 and 6, do show all the features of the instant clamed invention.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/807,380

Art Unit: 2627

Page 5

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thang V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7595. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nguyen Hoa can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thang V./Tran
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2627